ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR CIVIL PROCEDURE Office of the Secretary

Supreme Court of the United States Building Washington, D. C.

February 20, 1937

To the Members of the Sub-Committee on Style and Form:

Enclosed herewith is a loose-leaf binder containing a mimeographed copy of a draft of the rules into which have been incorporated the changes made by the Committee at its meeting, February 1 - 4, 1937.

Your attention is called to the attached letter of transmittal which accompanies the copies of this draft sent to members who are not on the Style Committee.

If the members of the Style Committee wish to have their suggestions mimeographed and distributed to other membors, the Socratary's office will attempt to attend to this work as promptly as possible.

A duplicate copy of the draft is enclosed for working purposes, and with the idea that you may wish to note your suggestions at appropriate places on the margin of this copy and return it to the Secretary's office where we can attend to whatever compilation, mimeographing and alstribution may be desired.

Also enclosed is a mir ographed copy of the stenographer's transcript of the proceedings at the February meeting.

Very sincerely,

Edgar B. Tolman.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR CIVIL PROCEDURE
Office of the Secretary
Supreme Court of the United States Building
Weshington, D. C.

February 20, 1937

To the Members of the Advisory Committee:

Enclosed is a mimeographed redreft of the rules into which have been incorporated the changes which the Committee directed at its meeting, February 1-4, 1937.

Throughout this draft, marginal notes have been added to call attention to particular matters in the rules which the General Committee referred to the Style Committee, or concerning which they especially asked for further suggestions from members of the full Committee. Where there was some doubt as to the exact phraseology to be used, or where the previous draft seemed to require clerical correction not expressly directed by the Committee, bracketed phraseology or alternative wordings have been inserted, accompanied by explanatory marginal notes when they were necessary.

For your convenience, the proposed rule on condommation, my memorandum and the list of statutes have been placed in the enclosed set of rules after the present Rule 82.

A table of contents is appended, and since the index handed you at the beginning of the last meeting does not need material revision on account of the changes made at that meeting, it may be used in connection with this draft.

Additional copies of the Appendix of Forms, as they were last amended and distributed, will be sent upon request.

It will be remembered that at the February meeting the Chairman suggested that all members of the Committee who desire to make comment or suggestion upon this draft might send their suggestions to the Secretary's office for mimeographing and distribution to the Style Committee and other members, in order to secure a possible exchange of views. It will be appreciated if the members will send in their comments as soon as they conveniently can in order that the mimeographing and distribution may be facilitated and spread as evenly as possible.

Yours very truly,

Edgar B. Tolman.

P. D. Feb., 1937

## I. APPLICABILITY OF THE RULES

1 , Rule 90. Application to District Courts;

The District of Columbia; State Lew Defined;

3 Removed Actions; Actions before a Special

4 District Court; Actions Under the United

5 States Arbitration Act.

(a) District Courts. These rules shall not

7 apply to proceedings in admiralty, to proceedings

8 in bankruptcy or copyright, except in so far as

9 they may be made applicable thereto by rules pro-

10 mulgated by the Supreme Court of the United States.

11 (a) proceedings in probate in the District Court

10 -4 th 2011-1 (01-1-- 4-- 1)- but he had a district the second of the

12 of the United States for the District of Columbia

13 or to the following proceedings are appears.

to or to the fortuning proceedings.

14 tharein proceedings for admission to citizenship,

15 proceedings in habeas corpus, quo warranto pro-16 ceedings [action of quo warranto] and proceedings

17 (in rem) for the forfeiture of (anaciste) property

18 [for violation of ] [under any statute of the

up to and molnding entry

19 United States. Proceedings for admission to

20 citizenship, proceedings in habeas corpus, quo

21 warranto proceedings [actions of quo warranto] .

22 and proceedings in rem for the forfeiture of

23 [specific] property [for violation of] [under]

24 any statute of the United States, shall be gov-

25 erned by existing applicable statutes of the

26 "nited States Tas provided by law and statutes of

27 the linited States].

the and mekadone,
the and mekadone,
the and mekadone,
ence of the and and and
ofference of the and
ofference of th

NOTE:- Placement and phraseology of habeas corpus, mandanus and quo warrante proceedings left to Style Cormittee. Rules to State somewhere "scire facias is abolished".

P. D. Feb., 1937 Rule 90 page 2

(aa) Mandamus Proceedings. Proceedings for mandamus authorized by the Act of August 26, 1935, 30 c. 687, Title I, § 18, 49 Stat. 831, U.S.C., Title 31 15, § 79r(g), 32 [other statutes to be placed here] 33 and other [similar] statutes of the United States 34 shall be treated as actions in which the relief 35 sought is a mandatory injunction and the plead-

36 ings and practice shall conform to these rules 37 so far as applicable. (b) The District of Columbia. Whenever in these rules reference is made to a district 40 court or to a district judge, the reference shall 41 be taken to include also the District Court of 42 the United States for the District of Columbia 43 and a justice thereof; and whomever in these 44 rules reference is made to a circuit court of appeals or to a judge thereof, the reference shall be taken to include also the United States 47 Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia and a justice thereof. Whenever in these rules 49 the law of the state wherein the district 50 court is held is made applicable, the law 51 applied in the District of Columbia shall govern 52 proceedings in the District Court of the United

53 States for the District of Columbia. Whenever

NOTE:- Subdivision (aa) is a proposal by the Chairman for the Style Committee. Query:- Should executory writs of mandamus issued without express statutory authorization be preserved in Rule 83 or Rule 84.

See Dobie on Federal Procedure. FR. 327, 328.